

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE
ANCHORAGE ASSEMBLY

Assembly Chambers, Z. J. Loussac Library
3600 Denali Street, Anchorage, Alaska

Minutes for Special Meeting of April 26, 2005

15 **1. CALL TO ORDER**

16
17 The Assembly Meeting was convened by Chair Fairclough at 6:00 p.m. in the Assembly Chambers, Room 108 of the
18 Loussac Library, 3600 Denali Street in Anchorage, Alaska.

19
20 **2. ROLL CALL** A Quorum was achieved with Assemblymembers present.

21
22 PRESENT: Allan Tesche, Janice Shamberg, Debbie Ossiander, Dan Sullivan, Anna Fairclough, Dan Coffey, Chris
23 Birch, Ken Stout, Paul Bauer, Dick Traini and Pamela Jennings.

24 ABSENT: None.

25
26 **3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE** Mayor Begich led the pledge.

27
28 Chair Fairclough introduced Elizabeth Gogolowski, a ten-year old 4th grader from Bayshore Elementary School, who
29 sang the National Anthem.

30
31 **4. NEW PUBLIC HEARINGS**

32 4.A. Resolution No. AR 2005-78, a resolution of the Municipality of Anchorage providing for a **revision of**
33 **the 2005 General Government Operating Budget**; Office of Management and Budget.

34 1. Assembly Memorandum No. 224-2005.

35 2. Resolution No. AR 2005-78(S), a resolution of the Municipality of Anchorage providing for a
36 revision of the 2005 General Government Operating Budget; Office of Management and
37 Budget.

38
39 Chair Fairclough read the item titles and explained that each item had a substitute version that would be introduced
40 that evening. She opened combined Public Hearing on AR 2005-78, AO 2005-59 and AO 2005-62. There being no
41 one to testify, she closed Public Hearing and stated the Question on the first item.

42
43 Mr. Coffey moved, to approve AR 2005-78(S).
44 Mr. Sullivan seconded,

45
46 To Chair Fairclough, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Director Diana Percy responded the changes in the
47 "S" version included an adjustment to debt service and a decrease of \$378,850 from the approved budget, involving
48 adjustments to areawide services. There were increased tax rates, as approved by voters in the recent election,
49 increases to the tax amount to some limited rural road service areas and miscellaneous changes for inner-
50 governmental costs (IGCs).

51
52 Ms. Ossiander stated the reductions to some service area mill rates would cause impacts to those areas.

53
54 Mr. Coffey moved, to amend AO 2005-78(S), *by eliminating* a \$250,000
55 Mr. Sullivan seconded, contribution to the Transit Capital Fund (485) as part of
56 required match to FTA and FHWA grant funded capital
57 projects.

58
59 Mr. Coffey stated the Administration proposed this contribution for additional capital funds to attract federal matching
60 funds for the transit system. In the past, issues of matching funds had been approved by the voters. He did not think it
61 was necessary to subsidize the transit system anymore.

62
63 To Mr. Tesche, Mayor Begich responded that it was important to begin a cash-match program for attracting future
64 federal matching funds and the Administration would continue to communicate about funding and capital
65 improvements in the Transit Department. Mr. Tesche responded that it was unwise to eliminate a program that
66 created cash flow to guarantee future matching funds.

67
68 Mr. Sullivan stated that the voters in Anchorage recognized and understood funding matches and would support ballot
69 propositions in the future. He supported the amendment.

70
71 Mr. Birch supported the amendment. It showed good planning and there was a sufficient fund balance. He thought
72 voters could approve money to be set aside over time, for future requirements for matching funds.

73
74 Ms. Shamberg opposed the amendment. She was on the Anchorage Metropolitan Area Transportation Solutions (AMATS)
75 Board and understood the transit program was too important not to fully support. She did not want to rely on voters to
76 approve ballot propositions.

1
2 and this motion was passed,
3

4 AYES: Ossiander, Sullivan, Fairclough, Coffey, Birch, Stout, Bauer and Traini.
5 NAYES: Tesche, Shamberg and Jennings.
6

7 Mr. Coffey moved, to amend AR 2005-78(S) *by deleting* \$49,850, funding
8 Mr. Sullivan seconded, for (a) new planning position within the Community
9 Development Division to assist with neighborhood planning.
10

11 Mr. Coffey stated that the Assembly had increased the Planning Department's budget by \$250,000, to fund planning
12 positions because the department was dealing with current issues, including Title 21. If the Administration's intention
13 was to use this appropriation for enforcement, that could be addressed in the future. He urged support.
14

15 Ms. Shamberg opposed the amendment. It was important to continue supporting the Planning Department with all the
16 work they were doing with the Title 21 revisions.
17

18 Ms. Jennings opposed the amendment. She understood the funding was to be in the Economic Development
19 Department, to educate the communities and help them find programs to resolve the concerns of code violations.
20

21 To Mr. Traini, Mayor Begich responded this funding was for the Economic and Community Development Department,
22 for personnel, to work with the communities and to educate them on the rules.
23

24 Mr. Tesche stated he was working with Fairview, Mountain View and Government Hill, forming neighborhood planning
25 committees. Economic and Community Development Division Manager Carma Reed responded the position was to
26 assist neighborhoods with their plans. Mr. Tesche thought assistance to help neighborhood plans meet the 2020
27 Comprehensive Plan was important and opposed the amendment.
28

29 Mr. Birch supported the amendment. The position was to educate neighborhoods, rather than code enforcement. In
30 general, property owners understood code requirements and the position was not warranted at this time.
31

32 Ms. Ossiander supported the amendment. The Planning Department first needed to complete Title 21, zoning maps,
33 the Hillside plan and then the neighborhood plans should be addressed.
34

35 Mr. Coffey stated that in the approved 2005 budget there were appropriations for neighborhood district plans.
36 Reorganization first needed to be addressed and then enforcement could be addressed in another manner. The
37 position may qualify for grant money and he fully supported the amendment.
38

39 Mr. Tesche stated the appropriations to which Mr. Coffey had referred, were for consultants for the neighborhood
40 district plans. There was a need for supervision, direction and planning implementation, and the Planning Department
41 was understaffed. He opposed and urged a NO-vote on the amendment.
42

43 Mr. Sullivan stated this had been discussed at the worksession and the position was classified as an associate
44 planner. The Assembly could wait for a firm job description in the future and address it in the normal budget process.
45 He supported the amendment, and would support enforcement in the future, if it were addressed with the 2006 budget.
46

47 Mayor Begich stated this position had always been specifically for a neighborhood coordinator and was not a Planning
48 Department position. On May 13th he would explain how this position would work with the code enforcement officers to
49 enhance and improve neighborhoods.
50

51 Mr. Coffey stated all Assemblymembers supported code enforcement and felt it was needed, and when the Mayor
52 presented a quality enforcement plan, he would be in full support.
53

54 Chair Fairclough put the Question on the Coffey Amendment.
55

56 and this motion was passed,
57

58 AYES: Ossiander, Sullivan, Fairclough, Coffey, Birch, Stout and Bauer.
59 NAYES: Tesche, Shamberg, Traini and Jennings.
60

61 Mr. Coffey moved, to amend AO 2005-78(S) *by stipulating*: Prior to the
62 Ms. Ossiander seconded, expenditure of the funds requested by the Administration in
63 its proposed Amendments (S-Version) to the 2005 Approved
64 Budget, (\$145,000) for the three (3) new enforcement
65 personnel in the Planning Department, the Administration
66 shall present a plan of reorganization for the Municipality's
67 enforcement programs (all departments) which shall be
68 approved by the Assembly (*by an Assembly Information*
69 *Memorandum*). Only after such approval shall expenditure of
70 these funds be permitted.
71

72 Mr. Coffey stated the enforcement plan needed to be reviewed before expenditures were approved.
73

74 To Mr. Tesche, Mayor Begich responded the Administration would accept this amendment.
75

76 Ms. Jennings stated there was a need for the three code enforcement positions and if they were going to wait to review
77 the enforcement plan, she urged the Administration to present that plan expeditiously. Mayor Begich responded that

1 an AIM would be presented shortly after the May 13th worksession because there was an immediate need for code
2 enforcement.

3
4 Mr. Coffey concurred with Ms. Jennings and felt this approach would lead to a quicker and better resolution.

5
6 Chair Fairclough put the Question on the Coffey Amendment.

7
8 and this motion was unanimously passed,

9
10 AYES: Tesche, Shamberg, Ossiander, Sullivan, Fairclough, Coffey, Birch, Stout, Bauer, Traini and Jennings.

11 NAYES: None.

12
13 Ms. Ossiander moved, to amend AO 2005-78(S) *by adding* \$37,000 funding for
14 Mr. Birch seconded, capital needs to the Eagle River Police Substation.

15
16 Ms. Ossiander stated that public safety was a top priority and the Assembly had approved police officer positions,
17 currently training at the academy. She understood that support staff was next on the list.

18
19 To Mr. Traini, Ms. Ossiander responded the Police Chief had given the figures for costs of manning the Eagle River
20 substation. Mayor Begich responded the Administration was following a five-year strategic plan, and community-
21 based policing was part of the plan. It was a step-by-step plan, which included developing a Muldoon Mall substation.

22
23 Mr. Traini stated there was a problem with policing in this town. There was a house in his neighborhood, which
24 needed abatement. It took police officers half an hour to respond and the issue was not being resolved. He would not
25 support a single appropriation for Eagle River when community policing was needed on a city-wide basis.

26
27 To Ms. Jennings, Mayor Begich responded this appropriation for the Eagle River Police Substation involved a records
28 clerk position. Ms. Ossiander responded that her amendment referred only to the substation at Eagle River.

29
30 Mr. Sullivan stated the capital expenditure being addressed could be reviewed in the fall, under the 2006 capital
31 budget or it could come before the voters to decide capital expenditures.

32
33 Ms. Ossiander stated she had discussed this thoroughly with the Police Department and she had been assured their
34 goal was to staff substations with clerical positions in Eagle River, Midtown and Dimond Jewel Lake areas. In more
35 isolated parts of town, with higher amounts of violent crime, the need for community policing was important. Statistics
36 for her district showed there were high incidents with few responses made by police. A staffed position at the Eagle
37 River substation would make a difference and was a critical public safety need. To Mr. Birch, Ms. Ossiander
38 responded this amendment was to harden the existing facility in Eagle River.

39
40 To Mr. Traini, Mayor Begich responded that police positions could move within the city to fill the position, but by simply
41 moving positions would leave other areas more vulnerable for crime. He agreed that substations should be occupied
42 with community-based activity.

43
44 Mr. Tesche stated the Ossiander Amendment seemed to favor one part of town over the others. The Mayor
45 responded there was a strategic plan which included community-based staffing and activities.

46
47 Mr. Coffey assumed the Chair, allowing Ms. Fairclough an opportunity to participate in discussion. Ms. Fairclough
48 stated there was a 30-minute-plus response time for the Eagle River area and three officers simply could not respond
49 to the call-outs in the area. She and Ms. Ossiander had addressed this issue for a long time and she urged approval
50 of the amendment.

51
52 Mr. Traini stated that these positions were needed all over town, to deal with the increased crime. The Police Chief
53 and the Administration needed to develop a system-wide, comprehensive plan for the entire community.

54
55 Ms. Shamberg stated that Eagle River was at a geographic disadvantage and it took much time to respond to calls
56 there. She supported a broken-windows policy throughout Anchorage. She supported the Ossiander Amendment and
57 did not think manning the substation would cause ballooning of crime in other areas of the city.

58
59 To Mr. Tesche, Ms. Ossiander responded she supported the strategic plan for the Police Department.

60
61 Mr. Stout stated that he supported the Police Department's plan for using volunteers to fill clerical positions in some of
62 the substations to make them useful. City-wide community policing was necessary and Eagle River did not get their
63 fair share. He supported the Ossiander Amendment.

64
65 Mr. Birch understood the vastness of the Eagle River area and supported the Ossiander Amendment.

66
67 Ms. Jennings moved, to amend the Ossiander Amendment *by adding* \$15,000 for
68 capital needs at the Dimond Jewell Lake Substation.

69
70 Ms. Ossiander accepted this as a friendly amendment, but Mr. Birch, the second, did not. He stated the training facility
71 off Dimond might be a good alternative for this appropriation.

72
73 To Mr. Sullivan, Mr. Stout responded that he had been referring to a police-trained, clerical position. Mr. Sullivan
74 thought addressing volunteerism was a good idea. The capital budget would be addressed in the fall and the
75 Assembly could review a police strategic plan then. Mr. Coffey concurred.

76

1 Mayor Begich explained that the Police Department could freely propose needed improvements, and the
2 Administration calculated the costs needed to train the officers.

3
4 Chair Fairclough put the Question on the Ossiander Amendment.

5
6 and the motion failed,

7
8 AYES: Shamberg, Ossiander, Fairclough, Birch and Stout.
9 NAYES: Tesche, Sullivan, Coffey, Bauer, Traini and Jennings.

10
11 Chair Fairclough stated the Question on AO 2005-78(S).

12
13 Mr. Sullivan stated that the issue of street lights and signals needed to be addressed because the state was not living
14 up to their contract obligations, not paying for their share of operations. Mayor Begich responded there was legislation
15 currently before the State Legislature for a \$300,000 appropriation for reimbursement, which was supported by the
16 Governor. He encouraged the Assembly to request 100% reimbursement and added that if the state did not come
17 through, they would turn out the illumination lights.

18
19 Mr. Coffey urged the Assembly and the Administration to do what was necessary to receive full reimbursement from
20 the State of Alaska. It was not the responsibility of the city taxpayers.

21
22 Chair Fairclough stated that sponsoring a resolution supporting Transfer of Responsibility Agreement (TORA) would
23 send a clear message to the State of Alaska. The state was under contractual agreement to reimburse the
24 Municipality for expenses of maintaining state signals and lights. The amount being negotiated did not fully reimburse
25 the Municipality of Anchorage. Mayor Begich stated the state had continually under-funded their obligations for the
26 city.

27
28 To Mr. Stout, Mayor Begich stated the municipal lobbyists were currently working on this issue. Mayor Begich stated
29 he had been personally involved with the efforts, making calls to the Speaker of the House and many legislators
30 and senators. He stated the lobbyists would report back to the Assembly on their activities as soon as they returned.

31
32 Chair Fairclough put the Question on the main motion.

33
34 and the main motion, as amended, was passed unanimously,

35
36 AYES: Tesche, Shamberg, Ossiander, Sullivan, Fairclough, Coffey, Birch, Stout, Bauer, Traini and Jennings.
37 NAYES: None.

38
39 Ms. Ossiander moved, *for immediate reconsideration of AR 2005-78(S),*
40 Mr. Coffey seconded, *as amended.*
41 and this motion failed,

42
43 AYES: Shamberg.
44 NAYES: Tesche, Ossiander, Sullivan, Fairclough, Coffey, Birch, Stout, Bauer, Traini and Jennings.

- 45
46 4.B. **Ordinance No. AO 2005-59**, an ordinance setting the rate of **tax levy for the General Government**
47 and levying taxes for the Municipality of Anchorage Areawide General Purposes including all services
48 area of the Municipality and excluding municipal schools for the Municipal Tax Year 2005 and
49 approving the amount of municipal general government property tax for Fiscal Year 2005; Office of
50 Management and Budget.
51 1. Assembly Memorandum No. 223-2005.
52 2. Ordinance No. AO 2005-59(S), an ordinance setting the rate of tax levy for the General
53 Government and levying taxes for the Municipality of Anchorage Areawide General Purposes
54 including all services area of the Municipality and excluding municipal schools for the
55 Municipal Tax Year 2005 and approving the amount of municipal general government property
56 tax for Fiscal Year 2005; Office of Management and Budget.

57
58 Mr. Coffey moved, *to approve AO 2005-59(S).*
59 Mr. Sullivan seconded,

60
61 Mr. Coffey read the ordinance title and moved to amend.

62
63 Mr. Coffey moved, *to amend AO 2005-59(S) by reducing the amount of General*
64 *Government Property Taxes appearing in Section 38, Line 15*
65 *and 18, and Section 39, Lines 23, 26 and 30 by \$1,024,400,*
66 *to reflect a reduction in the judgments and settlements*
67 *component of the 2005 tax limit calculation of the same*
68 *amount; and amending Section 2, Line 20, from [-66] to .64;*
69 *Section 24, Line 25 from [4.96] to 1.97 and Section 25, Line*
70 *27 from [2.78] to 2.75.*

71
72 To Mr. Coffey, Chief Fiscal Officer Jeff Sinz responded the rates were adjusted in three areas, reducing the tax levy of
73 \$724,550, which was the amount not accompanied with a corresponding expense item in the budget. This tax levy
74 was included specifically to increase the fund balance for the five major funds, in order to implement the Municipal
75 Fund Balance Policy.

1 Mr. Coffey stated the proposed amendment was meant to maintain balances in the five largest funds for 2-3% of actual
2 revenue received from the previous year, and would bring the city into compliance with the Fund Balance Policy,
3 benefiting the city's bond ratings.

4
5 Mayor Begich stated it was the Administration's intention to make certain that the fund balances would continue to be
6 rebuilt to their original status. These funds were to be used for one-time expenditures and emergencies and not for
7 day-to-day expenses.

8
9 To Mr. Coffey, Mr. Sinz responded three of the five funds were being adjusted to bring them into compliance.

10
11 To Mr. Tesche, Mr. Sinz responded it was not uncommon to have changes in the bond ratings, which usually reflected
12 changes in the local economy, in the financial condition of the issuer or in financial management practices. Mr. Sinz
13 stated the March rating presentation with Standards and Poor's and Moody's led to a favorable outlook of the bonds
14 and there was potential for upgrade, which would reduce the cost of borrowing. Mr. Tesche stated the proposed
15 amendment would lead to a proposed tax savings of only \$8-11 for each personal property valued at \$250,000. Mr.
16 Sinz responded they would continue to work diligently to succeed with a bond upgrade and receive benefits to the
17 municipal taxpayers.

18
19 Mr. Birch supported the amendment. He thought the \$11 per household was significant and the Assembly had a duty
20 and responsibility to be attentive to the taxpayers. Supporting the amendment was being financially responsible and
21 would maintain conformance with the bond policy. He requested to be listed as a cosponsor.

22
23 Ms. Jennings stated the Fund Balance Policy was important and showed fiscal responsibility, reduced interest on the
24 bonds and helped save money. She was concerned that only the barest attempt was being made to comply with the
25 Fund Balance Policy.

26
27 Ms. Shamberg stated the amendment was very shortsighted for the \$8 relief. It did not make sense to disable the
28 Administration when they were negotiating, particularly when there was the opportunity of saving much more money.

29
30 Mr. Coffey read from the Fund Balance Policy, indicating management of the budget was to be within 2-3% of prior
31 year revenues. The revenues being in the savings account was significant, and they were substantially moving
32 towards full compliance. It also was insurance for money that might be needed for city emergencies. He would
33 support the amendment.

34
35 Ms. Ossiander stated that, jointly with the School District, the Municipality would set aside a specific dollar amount to
36 ensure that there would be sufficient reserves to bolster the bond ratings. She stated diversification of the revenue
37 stream would lead to a favorable increase in the bond rating. She supported the amendment.

38
39 Mr. Sullivan stated the Administration had done a good job of establishing a sound fiscal policy and the Assembly and
40 Administration would continue to work together, saving additional money in each municipal department and
41 establishing a new reserve fund. The approval of the amendment would send a message to the taxpayers that they
42 were being diligent and responsible. He was in support of the Coffey Amendment.

43
44 Mr. Tesche stated that his opposition of the Coffey Amendment should not reflect a lack of faith in the Mayor or Chief
45 Fiscal Officer. He thought that the \$11 savings could better be reinvested, to increase the fund balance.

46
47 and this motion passed,

48
49 AYES: Ossiander, Sullivan, Fairclough, Coffey, Birch, Stout, Bauer, Traini and Jennings.

50 NAYES: Tesche and Shamberg.

51
52 To Mr. Coffey, the Mayor responded that, in general, the mill levies were being continually reduced, money was being
53 saved and the amount required to maintain the city was the lowest it had been for ten to fifteen years.

54
55 Chair Fairclough stated that while the issue was not pulled for debate, she would like to be listed as a NO-vote on the
56 Eaglewood Contributing Road Service Area, on Page 2, Section 17, Line 11. Chair Fairclough stated this issue was a
57 complicated matter and showed a mill rate increase of over 50%, and needed to be addressed by the Road Service
58 Board. Ms. Ossiander requested to be reflected as also opposing this issue.

59
60 Mayor Begich thanked Assemblymembers for all their work, completing the 2005 Budget. They would work together,
61 continuing to save moneys, reducing mill levies and maintaining the fund balance and the emergency and bond funds.

62
63 Chair Fairclough put the Question on the main motion.

64
65 and the main motion, as amended, was passed,

66
67 AYES: Tesche, Shamberg, Ossiander, Sullivan, Fairclough, Coffey, Birch, Stout, Bauer, Traini and Jennings.

68 NAYES: None.

69 *(With Fairclough and Ossiander listed as NO-votes on the Eaglewood Contributing Road Service Area on Page 2,*
70 *Section 17, Line 11)*

71
72 Mayor Begich recommended immediate reconsideration on all three Agenda items. Mr. Coffey so moved and it was
73 seconded by Mr. Stout, who urged a NO-vote.

74
75 Mr. Coffey moved, *for immediate reconsideration of AO 2005-59(S),*
76 Mr. Stout seconded, *as amended.*
77 and this motion failed,

1
2 AYES: None.
3 NAYES: Tesche, Shamberg, Ossiander, Sullivan, Fairclough, Coffey, Birch, Stout, Bauer, Traini and Jennings.

4
5 4.C. Ordinance No. AO 2005-62, an ordinance setting the rate of **tax levy and levying taxes for the**
6 **Anchorage School District** for the Municipality Tax Year 2005 and approving the total amount of
7 municipal property tax for the Anchorage School District for Calendar Year 2005; Office of
8 Management and Budget.

9
10 Mr. Coffey moved, to approve AO 2005 63(S).
11 Mr. Sullivan seconded,

12
13 To Mr. Coffey, OMB Director Diana Percy responded the changes included an increase in property tax of \$69,788 for
14 the increase over the School District's approved budget, which included only preliminary estimates for new
15 construction. The final number on new construction resulted in a higher amount of property taxes needed for the
16 district, which would remain at 7.59 mills. Mayor Begich responded that the mill levy calculation would allow funds to
17 be received and the district would have to present budget amendment to show how that money would be spent.

18
19 Mr. Traini stated that every year the Assembly and the Administration addressed a recalculation of the taxes for the
20 School District.

21
22 Chair Fairclough put the Question.

23
24 and the motion passed,

25
26 AYES: Tesche, Shamberg, Ossiander, Sullivan, Fairclough, Coffey, Birch, Stout, Bauer, Traini and Jennings.
27 NAYES: None.

28
29 Mr. Coffey moved for immediate reconsideration and urged a NO-vote.

30
31 Mr. Coffey moved, for immediate reconsideration of AO 2005-62(S).
32 Ms. Ossiander seconded,
33 and this motion failed,

34
35 AYES: None.
36 NAYES: Tesche, Shamberg, Ossiander, Sullivan, Fairclough, Coffey, Birch, Stout, Bauer, Traini and Jennings.

37 38 5. ADJOURNMENT

39
40 Mr. Traini requested and received a point of personal privilege to allow an honored citizen, who defended the United
41 States in World War II, the opportunity to speak to the Assembly.

42
43 Mr. Stout welcomed Mr. Todd, a former member of the 101st Airborne Division.

44
45 ALDEN TODD thanked all Assemblymembers for their time and efforts for serving the community. He praised them for
46 their focus and knowledge of the issues. He urged them to continue to work harmoniously together, working for the
47 good of the city. He recognized two Assemblymembers, Mr. Stout and Mr. Bauer, who also jumper out of airplanes.

48
49 Mr. Sullivan thanked him for his testimony and stated that Assemblymembers often contributed to healthy debate, but
50 remained focused on the issues and worked well with the Administration.

51
52 Chair Fairclough thanked the Administration and Assemblymembers for their time and effort with the budget process,
53 working together with respect. Chair Fairclough called for a motion to adjourn the meeting.

54
55 Mr. Sullivan moved, to adjourn the Special Assembly Meeting.
56 Mr. Birch seconded,
57 and this motion was passed,

58
59 AYES: Tesche, Shamberg, Ossiander, Sullivan, Fairclough, Coffey, Birch, Stout, Bauer, Traini and Jennings.
60 NAYES: None.

61
62 The Special Assembly Meeting was adjourned at 9:20 p.m.

63
64
65
66 _____
67 ANNA FAIRCLOUGH, Assembly Chair

68
69
70
71 ATTEST:

71 _____
72 BARBARA GRUENSTEIN, Municipal Clerk

73 Date Minutes Approved: May 17, 2005.

74 MC/BG

75 (Approved Meeting Minutes are available in the Municipal Clerk's Office, 632 West 6th Avenue, Suite 250, Anchorage, Alaska,
76 telephone (907)343-4505, or on the Municipal Web Site at www.Muni.org~Assembly~Minutes~year~month/day)